

## NOTES

---

**Meeting:** Royal Wootton Bassett & Cricklade Community Area Transport Group

**Place:** Ballards Ash Sports Ground, Royal Wootton Bassett

**Date:** Thursday 21 November 2013

**Time:** 7 – 9.30pm

---

Please direct any enquiries on these notes to Penny Bell, Community Area Manager, Monkton Park, Chippenham, SN15 1ER, direct line 01249 706613, or email [penny.bell@wiltshire.gov.uk](mailto:penny.bell@wiltshire.gov.uk)

---

### **In attendance:**

Councillor Mollie Groom, Wiltshire Council (Chairman)

Councillor Allison Bucknell, Wiltshire Council

Councillor Chris Hurst, Wiltshire Council

Councillor Bob Jones, Wiltshire Council

Councillor Jacqui Lay, Wiltshire Council

Penny Bell, Community Area Manager, Wiltshire Council

Steve Hind, Principal Traffic Engineer, Wiltshire Council

Spencer Drinkwater, Principal Officer Transport Strategy, Wiltshire Council

John Coole, Cricklade Town Council

Ray Thomas, Purton Parish Council

Veronica Stubbings, Broad Town Parish Council

Dean Cobb, Lydiard Millicent Parish Council

Peter Willis, Lydiard Tregoz Parish Council

Sue Doyle, Royal Wootton Bassett Town Council

Geoff Jackson-Haines, Lyneham & Bradenstoke Parish Council

---

<p><b>1</b></p>	<p><b><u>Chairman's welcome</u></b></p> <p>Councillor Mollie Groom, Chairman of the Community Area Transport Group (CATG) welcomed everyone to the meeting and invited everybody to introduce themselves.</p>
<p><b>2</b></p>	<p><b><u>Apologies</u></b></p> <p>Apologies were received from Councillor Mary Champion, Wiltshire Council and Malcolm Beaven, Highways and Streetscene Engineer, Wiltshire Council.</p>
<p><b>3</b></p>	<p><b><u>Notes of meeting 4 July 2013</u></b></p> <p>The following updates were noted:</p> <p>Issue 2847 – the planning application was going to appeal as the developer was unhappy with the Section 106 arrangements, however the developer had also submitted a mirror application in the meantime.</p> <p>Issue 1814 – Cricklade Road would be closed in January for major maintenance works with a weight restriction.</p> <p>Issue 2462 – this was still outstanding – <b>Penny Bell would seek an update from Malcolm Beaven.</b></p> <p>Substantive scheme – it was noted that the bid for substantive scheme funding for a new pedestrian crossing near Willis Way in Purton had been successful and Steve Hind was currently in the process of designing the scheme.</p>
<p><b>4</b></p>	<p><b><u>CATG budget update – priorities and commitments</u></b></p> <p>It was noted that the remaining CATG budget available was <b>£15,600</b>.</p> <p>The sum of <b>£10,964</b> was also still available towards a footway in Lydiard Green having been ring-fenced from the Area Board's budget last year.</p> <p>Existing commitments from this year's budget included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• £5,000 towards a substantive scheme for a pedestrian crossing on Purton High Street, near Willis Way</li> <li>• £800 to provide dropped kerbs and tactile paving at Purton High Street crossing, near the village hall</li> </ul> <p>Commitments carried forward from 2012/13 included:</p>

- £1,500 for slow markings at Bremhill Bridge in Purton
- £8,745 for traffic calming at Stone Lane in Lydiard Millicent

5

**Review current issues**

The following two schemes had been previously prioritised by the CATG for consideration:

**Issue 2282 Speeding in Hook**

The CATG considered the following traffic-calming proposals for addressing the issue of speeding on the C414 in Hook:

- Existing approach markings from Royal Wootton Bassett to remain
- Traffic island with 2 no. solar bollards
- Red coloured textured patch and 30mph repeater roundels in the vicinity of Bolingbrook Close
- Approach markings in the vicinity of the village hall
- All road markings between the two sets of approach markings to be removed and replaced with continuous white lines on both sides of the carriageway approximately 5.5m apart.

The above proposed scheme would cost in the region of £12,000.

**Decision**

**The CATG agreed to recommend to the Area Board that the sum of £10,000 should be allocated to this scheme from the Area Board’s discretionary highways budget of 2013/14, on the condition that the Parish Council contributes the remaining sum of £2,000.**

**Issue 2015 Lydiard Green Footway**

The CATG considered proposals for the development of a part-footway through Lydiard Green, consisting of 4 phases of footway which would run from Lydiard House to the letter box, as follows:

- Phase 1 - £6,000
- Phase 2 - £3,500
- Phase 3 - £6,000 (includes raising large BT cover)
- Phase 4 - £3,000 (without moving BT pole)
- Road closure - £2,000
- **Total for 4 phases - £20,500**

The sum of £10,964 had previously been allocated to this project from the Area Board’s 2012/13 budget.

The CATG considered the above proposal and felt that it was only feasible to deliver the project if at least phases 1 to 3 were completed.

**Decision**

The CATG agreed to recommend to the Area Board that the sum of £10,964 already allocated to this project from last year, along with the sum of up to £3,536 from this year's budget should be allocated to this project, resulting in a total CATG contribution of up to £14,500. This was on the basis that:

1. Phases 1 , 2 and 3 of the project would be delivered as a minimum
2. The Parish Council will contribute the remaining funds to enable phases 1, 2 and 3 to be completed – being a sum of at least £3,000. If the Parish Council could contribute a higher amount then it might be possible to deliver phase 4 too.
3. The Parish Council would approach the industrial units at Bagbury Lane to explore whether any financial contributions towards the project could be secured

Further updates on other outstanding issues are detailed below.

<b>Issue</b>	<b>Comments/progress</b>
2282 – speeding in Hook	Approved and awaiting Area Board approval – move to 'in progress'
3038 – speeding in Broad Town	Steve Hind to visit and assess, and report findings to a future CATG meeting
3059 – speed limit review request at Coped Hall	Check against the review criteria, but likely to be not feasible. Also possible development to take place in the vicinity which may have an effect.
3127 – speed limit review request on A3102 in Lyneham	Review can only take place if situation changes. Many changes expected with MOD developments – keep under constant review
1732 – footpath request, Manor Hill, Purton	Steve Hind to visit and assess, and report findings to a future CATG meeting
3135 – difficult crossing in RWB	Close – being dealt with under developer contributions
3136 – dropped kerb request in Lyneham	Steve Hind to visit and assess, and report findings to a future CATG meeting

**6**

**Results of Speed Limit Review****C70**

The results of the C70 road review from Ashton Keynes to the Packhorse Lane junction of the B4553 were considered and there were some recommended changes along the route which also crossed boundaries with the Malmesbury Community Area. Malmesbury Area Board did not intend to pursue any changes on this route.

	<p>Parish and town council responses to these recommendations on the C70 were in favour of the new proposed limits.</p> <p><b><u>Decision</u></b>  <b>It is recommended that the Area Board endorses the parish/town council comments and forwards them on to the relevant Highways Officer for the next steps to be determined.</b></p> <p><b>C415</b>  There was much dissatisfaction and many unanswered questions with regards to the results of this review of the C415 from Royal Wootton Bassett to Braid Hinton.</p> <p><b><u>Decision</u></b>  <b>It is recommended that the Area Board requests that this review, particularly section 2, is conducted again due to the flawed and unsatisfactory process that was used.</b></p>
7	<p><b><u>SID programme - update</u></b></p> <p>A new SID programme was now operational and the SID was currently in situ in Hook as per the rota.</p> <p><b><u>Decision</u></b>  <b>It is recommended that the Area Board agrees to add Station Road in Purton to the SID rota following the results of the metro count carried out in June 2013 showing an 85<sup>th</sup> percentile of 42.5mph in a 30mph zone.</b></p> <p>A query was raised about adding Cricklade to the SID rota. Penny Bell would liaise with the Clerk to Cricklade Town Council to investigate this.</p>
8	<p><b><u>Community Speedwatch - update</u></b></p> <p>Community Speedwatch was now up and running with two new officers who had made contact with all Speedwatch Groups. Broad Town PC informed that the local CSW had been disbanded due to inaction on results to date.</p> <p><b>It was agreed that it would be useful for the Community Speedwatch groups in the community area to provide regular updates to the CATG.</b></p>
9	<p><b><u>Wiltshire Council 20mph policy</u></b></p> <p>The new policy was in force which would result in some decision-making being devolved to the CATG/ Area Board. Further guidance was awaited.</p>

10	<p><b><u>Sign de-cluttering and minor signing requests</u></b></p> <p>Parish and town councils were still in the process of drawing up lists for consideration.</p>
11	<p><b><u>Any other business</u></b></p> <p>Discussions had taken place throughout the meeting regarding the participation of people who had raised issues and the need for Area Board members to conduct site visits where issues have been raised prior to CATG meetings in order to facilitate understanding and efficient decision-making.</p> <p><b><u>Decision</u></b></p> <p><b>It is recommended that the Area Board approves the following processes:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li><b>1. Any person who has submitted an issue via the issues system will be notified of the date of the meeting at which it is to be discussed. That person or their representative (a total of one person) may request to speak at the meeting. This is at the chairman's discretion and is subject to the issue having already been considered by the local member and the relevant Parish Council.</b></li><li><b>2. Where a scheme is drawn up for discussion at a CATG meeting, prior to the meeting the local member will invite all of the other Area Board members to visit the site to familiarise themselves with the issue. At least one Area Board member, apart from the local member, should so do.</b></li></ol>